For the Northern District of California

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	EOD THE MODTHEDM DISTRICT OF CALLEODALA
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	KAMBIZ ADIBZADEH, No. C 10-02761 JSW
10	Plaintiff, ORDER
11	v.
12	FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION,
13	Defendant.
14	
15	On April 10, 2012, the Court Ordered Plaintiff to file a response to Defendant's
16	objection to a piece of evidence. In that Order, the Court stated that upon receipt of Plaintiff's
17	response, the Court shall then deem the matter submitted, and it shall issue a ruling in due
18	course.
19	On April 16, 2012, Defendant filed a response to Plaintiff's submission. Defendant did
20	not seek permission to file its response, and the Court did not invite a reply from Defendant. In
21	the interests of justice, the Court shall consider Defendant's response. However, as the Court
22	previously ordered, the matter was deemed submitted upon receipt of Plaintiff's response
23	The parties shall not file any further briefing in this case in this case without leave of
24	Court.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26 27	Dated: April 17, 2012 JEFFREY STATES DISTRICT HIDGE
27	JEFFREY % YHI TE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDG